ORIGINAL



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

ANN BAVENDER ANNE GOODWIN CRUMP VINCENT J. CURTIS JR. RICHARD J. ESTEVEZ PAUL J. FELDMAN RICHARD HILDRETH FRANK R. JAZZO ANDREW S. KERSTING EUGENE M. LAWSON, JR. SUSAN A. MARSHALL' HARRY C. MARTIN GEORGE PETRUTSAS RAYMOND J. QUIANZON LEONARD R. RAISH JAMES P. RILEY KATHLEEN VICTORY HOWARD M WEISS ALISON J. SHAPIRO

NOT ADMITTED IN VIRGINIA

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

11th FLOOR, 1300 NORTH 17th STREET

ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22209-3801

(703) 812-0400

TELECOPIER

(703) 812-0486

INTERNET

December 22, 1999

www.fhh-telcomlaw.com

DEC 22 1999 COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

(1939-1985)
ROBERT L. HEALD
(1956-1983)
PAUL D.P. SPEARMAN
(1936-1962)
FRANK ROBERSON
(1936-1961)
RUSSELL ROWELL

FRANK U. FLETCHER

(1948-1977) EDWARD F. KENEHAN (1960-1978)

CONSULTANT FOR INTERNATIONAL AND INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS
SHELDON J. KRYS
U. S. AMBASSADOR (ret.)

OF COUNSEL EDWARD A. CAINE* MITCHELL LAZARUS* EDWARD S. O'NEILL* JOHN JOSEPH SMITH

WRITER'S DIRECT

703-822-0440 lazarus@fhh-telcomlaw.com

BY HAND DELIVERY

Magalie Salas, Esq. Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington DC 20554

Re: Amendment of Part 18 of the Commission's Rules to Update Regulations for RF Lighting Devices, ET Docket No. 98-42 + Ex Parte Communication

Dear Ms. Salas:

This letter responds to the letter from Terry G. Mahn, counsel for Fusion Lighting, to Julius P. Knapp filed in this docket on December 9, 1999 ("Mahn Letter"). I am authorized to state that the following entities support this response: Bluetooth Promoters, Clearwire Technologies, Inc., Intersil Corporation (formerly Harris Corporation — Semiconductor Division), Metricom, Inc., Symbol Technologies, Inc., and 3Com Corporation — collectively, the "Part 15 Interests."

At a meeting Mr. Mahn attended on December 1, addressing matters outside this docket, some manufacturers mentioned ways of hardening spread spectrum systems against certain types of interference. Mr. Mahn subsequently asked those manufacturers to disclose the details of their technologies. Mr. Mahn calls their refusal a "disturbing development[]," and is "outraged" that they would deny the requested information to the public.¹

Mahn Letter at 1.

No. of Copies rec'd 04

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

Magalie Salas, Esq. December 22, 1999 Page 2

There is no technology that "overcome[s] ISM interference" to spread spectrum receivers, as Mr. Mahn suggests.² To be sure, there are several ways to reduce (but not eliminate) the adverse effects of particular kinds of interference under particular circumstances. More important, direct sequence and frequency hopping systems that comply with Section 15.247 of the Commission's Rules are generally less sensitive to interference than narrowband receivers operating at comparable data rates. In some cases, compliant direct sequence and frequency hopping systems can be further hardened against limited levels of interference, but only to the extent that the emission characteristics of the interference are known in advance. All such measures vary in their effectiveness according to the nature, strength, and predictability of the interfering signal, and they all come at a cost. Sometimes the cost is substantial, and sometimes prohibitive. A prudent spread spectrum manufacturer builds in as much interference protection as is technically and economically feasible. If there were an inexpensive fix that worked against all types and strengths of interference, the spread spectrum community would use it. But no such technology exists.³

Mr. Mahn complains that he is "stymied" in his efforts to provide technical information to the Commission. Based on our evolving understanding of the RF lighting industry's needs, the Part 15 Interests have set forth, explained, and defended a succession of specific technical proposals to resolve this proceeding. Our current proposal was filed on June 21, 1999. Mr. Mahn and his clients have yet to provide a technical response, or to offer a proposal of their own. Any stymie is of Mr. Mahn's own making.

Finally, Mr. Mahn persists in using the term "Bluetooth" in apparent reference to the Part 15 spread spectrum industry generally. We objected to this practice in a letter sent to Mr. Mahn and filed with the Commission on April 20, 1999. Bluetooth is only one component of the spread spectrum industry, and does not speak for other entities. The companies listed above refer to themselves collectively as the "Part 15 Interests," and request that Mr. Mahn do the same. Moreover, Bluetooth is an industry group consisting of many entities involved in the telecommunications and computing industries. The Bluetooth specifications are public documents and do not contain any proprietary technology. In short, Mr. Mahn's remarks concerning Bluetooth are misdirected.

ld.

Even if such a technology did exist, of course, a manufacturer would be under no legal compulsion to disclose proprietary details to the public.

FLETCHER, HEALD & HILDRETH, P.L.C.

Magalie Salas, Esq. December 22, 1999 Page 3

Pursuant to Section 1.1206(b)(1) of the Commission's Rules, I enclose the original and one copy of this letter for inclusion in the above-referenced docket.

Kindly date-stamp and return the extra copy of this letter.

If there are any questions about this filing, please call me at the number above.

Respectfully submitted,

Mitchell Lazarus

Counsel for Clearwire Technologies, Inc., Intersil Corporation, Symbol Technologies, Inc., and 3Com Corporation, and filing as an accommodation to Bluetooth Promoters and Metricom, Inc.

ML:deb

cc: David Chauncey, Clearwire Technologies, Inc.
Thomas Daley, Esquire, Clearwire Technologies, Inc.
David Jatlow, Esquire, Counsel for Bluetooth Promoters
Ray Martino, Symbol Technologies, Inc.
Carlos Rios, 3Com Corporation
Michael Scullin, AirTouch Communications, Inc.
Larry Solomon, Esquire, Counsel for Metricom, Inc.,
William D. Wallace, Esquire, Counsel for Globalstar, L.P.
Jim Zyren, Intersil Corporation
Terry Mahn, Esquire, Counsel for Fusion Lighting
Service List

SERVICE LIST

Chairman William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B201 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., room 8-A302 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Michael Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Susan Ness Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B115 Washington, D.C. 20554

Commissioner Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-C302 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Ari Fitzgerald Office of Chairman Kennard Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B201 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Paul Misener Office of Commissioner Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A302 Washington, D.C. 20554 Mr. Peter A. Tenhula Office of Commissioner Powell Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-A204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. Daniel Conners
Office of Commissioner Ness
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-B115
Washington, D.C. 20554

Ms. Karen Gulick Office of Commissioner Tristani Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 8-C302 Washington, D.C. 20554

Julius Knapp, Chief Policy & Rules Division Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 7B-133 Washington, D.C. 20554

Karen Rackley Chief, Technical Rules Branch Office of Engineering & Technology Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 7A-161 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mr. John A. Reed Senior Engineer, Technical Rules Branch Office of Engineering & Technology Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W., Room 7A-140 Washington, D.C. 20554